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#### Abstract

It follows implicitly from recent work in Heegaard Floer theory that lens spaces are homology cobordant exactly when they are oriented homeomorphic. We provide a new combinatorial proof using the Heegaard Floer d-invariants, which themselves may be defined combinatorially for lens spaces.


## Introduction

An integer homology cobordism between two closed, oriented 3-manifolds $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ is a compact, oriented 4-manifold $W$ whose boundary is $\partial W=Y_{1} \cup-Y_{2}$ such that the inclusion maps induce isomorphisms $H_{i}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H_{i}(W ; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{i}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for all homology groups; homology cobordism gives an equivalence relation. There are also corresponding definitions of rational homology cobordisms and spin-c rational homology cobordisms.

The homology cobordism classification of the lens spaces was only recently completed. In 1983, Gilmer and Livingston demonstrated that the lens spaces $L(p, q)$ for prime $p$ are homology cobordant iff they are diffeomorphic GL83. Fintushel and Stern extended this result in 1988 for odd $p$ [FS87. Nicolaescu proved in 2001 that the Ozsváth-Szabó dinvariant recovers Reidemeister-Franz torsion [Nic04, Section 5], which, in turn, recovers homeomorphism type for lens spaces by results of Brody and Reidemeister Bro60, Rei35] (technically, Nicolaescu showed the Ozsváth-Szabó theta divisor recovers the sum of the Casson-Walker invariant and Reidemeister-Franz torsion, but the Casson-Walker invariant of a lens space is the sum of its d-invariants, by a result of Rasmussen [Ras04, Lemma 2.2], and the theta divisor is the precursor of the d-invariant [OS]). In 2011, Greene showed that 2bridge links are mutants iff their branched double covers (recall, all lens spaces are branched double covers of 2-bridge links) are homeomorphic iff the covers' $\widehat{H F}$ are the same Gre13, but $\widehat{H F}$ recovers Reidemeister-Franz torsion by Rustamov [Rus, Theorem 3.4].

There are many known cobordism invariants, including some from Heegaard Floer homology. Ozsváth and Szabó associated the d-invariants to a manifold and spin-c structure which is invariant under spin-c rational homology cobordism, and the d-invariant function on the torsor of spin-c structures is likewise invariant under rational or integral homology cobordism OS03, Theorem 1.2]. We provide a combinatorial proof that two lens spaces $L\left(p, q_{1}\right)$ and $L\left(p, q_{2}\right)$ share the same d-invariant function precisely when they are oriented homeomorphic. Since the d-invariants are defined combinatorially for lens spaces, this produces a proof of the homology cobordism classification of lens spaces which is entirely combinatorial (modulo the proof that the d-invariants are spin-c homology cobordism invariants; in fact, there is a proof of this invariance for lens spaces which is combinatorial except for its use of Donaldson's Theorem Gre13).

[^0]Theorem 1. Two lens spaces are cobordant by an integral homology cobordism exactly when they are oriented homeomorphic.

We begin with a review of facts about d-invariants and spin-c structures and their behavior under homology cobordism. We also define a type of relative d-invariant $f(s, n)$ which carries all the information we need about the d-invariants. Next, we show that, if $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(L\left(p, q_{1}\right)\right)$ and $d\left(L\left(p, q_{1}\right), \cdot\right)$ are isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(L\left(p, q_{2}\right)\right)$ and $d\left(L\left(p, q_{2}\right), \cdot\right)$ in the category of torsors and functions, then $q_{1}=q_{2}$ or $q_{1} q_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$. Finally, we derive a more explicit description of the d-invariants modulo $\mathbb{Z}$ in the special case where $p$ is prime.

## Notation

Throughout this paper, let $[a]_{p}$ denote a representative of the class in the interval $[0, p)$. Let $a \equiv_{p} b$ mean $a$ and $b$ are equivalent modulo $p$. Let $a^{\prime}$ denote the inverse of $a$ (if it exists), so $a a^{\prime} \equiv_{p} 1$.
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## D-INVARIANTS AND SPIN-C STRUCTURES

Heegaard Floer homology assigns several flavors of invariants (including $H F^{\infty}$ and $H F^{+}$) to a closed, connected, oriented 3 -manifold and a choice of spin-c structure using a Heegaard decomposition of the manifold OS04b, OS04a. The generators come with a relative $\mathbb{Z}$ grading. A spin-c cobordism $(W, \mathfrak{s})$ from $\left(Y_{1},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{1}}\right)$ to $\left(Y_{2},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{2}}\right)$ produces a map

$$
F_{W, \mathfrak{s}}^{+}: C F^{+}\left(Y_{1},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{Y_{1}}\right) \longrightarrow C F^{+}\left(-Y_{2},\left.\mathfrak{s}\right|_{-Y_{2}}\right)
$$

which induces a relative grading between generators for the two manifolds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g r\left(F_{W, \mathfrak{s}}^{+}(x)\right)-g r(x)=\frac{c_{1}(\mathfrak{s})^{2}-2 \chi(W)-3 \operatorname{sign}(W)}{4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an appropriate choice of spin-c manifold, including a rational homology sphere with its unique spin-c structure, this grading shift allows a lift of the relative $\mathbb{Z}$-grading to an absolute $\mathbb{Q}$-grading by fixing a canonical grading for $S^{3}$ with its unique spin-c structure.

Derived from this absolute grading is the correction term or d-invariant $d(Y, \sigma)$, the minimal grading of any non-torsion element in $H F^{+}(Y, \sigma)$ inherited from $H F^{\infty}(Y, \sigma)$ OS03. It is invariant under spin-c rational homology cobordism (if $W$ is a rational homology cobordism, then the right side of Equation (1) is 0 for both $W$ and $-W$ ). The d-invariants, as a function on a torsor over $H^{2}(Y) \cong H_{1}(Y)$, is also invariant under integral homology cobordism in the following fashion:

Proposition 2. If $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are integrally homology cobordant, then $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(Y_{1}\right)$ and $d\left(Y_{1}, \cdot\right)$ are isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(Y_{2}\right)$ and $d\left(Y_{2}, \cdot\right)$ in the category of torsors and functions.
Proof. Let $W$ be the 4-manifold cobordism with $\partial W=Y_{1} \cup-Y_{2}$.
$\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(Y_{i}\right)$ is a torsor over $H^{2}\left(Y_{i}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(Y_{i}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(W)$ s a torsor over $H^{2}(W) \cong$ $H_{2}(W, \partial W)$. The long exact sequence for the pair $(W, \partial W)$ splits:

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{2}(W, \partial W) \xrightarrow{r_{1}^{*}-r_{2}^{*}} H_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right) \oplus H_{1}\left(-Y_{2}\right) \longrightarrow H_{1}(W) \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $r_{i}$ is the restriction map to $L\left(p, q_{i}\right)$. This short sequence induces isomorphisms

$$
H_{1}\left(Y_{1}\right) \stackrel{r_{1}^{*}}{\longleftarrow} H_{2}(W, \partial W) \xrightarrow{r_{2}^{*}} H_{1}\left(Y_{2}\right)
$$

which in turn induce the required torsor isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(Y_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{r_{2} r_{1}^{-1}} \operatorname{Spin}^{c}\left(Y_{2}\right)
$$

There is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 Z$ conjugation action $\mathfrak{t} \mapsto \overline{\mathfrak{t}}$ on the spin-c structures which fixes the spin structures. The restrictions maps and so also this isomorphism respect it.

Because it is invariant under spin-c homology cobordism, $d\left(Y_{1}, r_{1}(t)\right)=d\left(Y_{2}, r_{2}(t)\right)$, and the functions $d\left(Y_{i}, \cdot\right)$ are isomorphic.

The lens space $-L(p, q)$ has a pointed Heegaard diagram $\left(T^{2}, \alpha, \beta, z\right)$ with a single $\alpha$ curve and $\beta$ curve and exactly $p$ intersection points $\alpha \cap \beta$, one in each of the $p$ spin-c structures. For example, the Heegaard decomposition of $-L(5,2)$ looks like:


We have chosen the orientation on $L(p, q)$ so that the manifold is $-p / q$ surgery on the unknot. Choose an identification of $\operatorname{Spin}^{c}(L(p, q))$ by labelling the intersection points $0,1, \ldots, p-1$ from left to right across the bottom of the diagram, beginning with the 0 for the bottom right corner of the domain containing the basepoint $z$ [OS03, Proposition 4.8]. To see the difference of two spin-c structures $i-j \in H_{1}(L(p, q))$ under this identification, observe the curve $\gamma$, which is a generator of $H_{1}(L(p, q))$ and connects $i$ to $i+q$ along the $\alpha$ curve and $i+q$ to $i$ along the $\beta$ curve, so we say $(i+q)-i=[\gamma]$. Any other $i-j$ gives a multiple of [ $\gamma$ ].

There is a combinatorial description of the d-invariants of a lens space based on the grading shift in Equation (1) derived in OS03, Proposition 4.8]. Assuming $0<q<p$,

$$
d(L(p, q), i)=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\left(2[i]_{p}+1-p-q\right)^{2}}{4 p q}-d(L(q, p), i)
$$

Derived from this recursive formula is a more direct formula for how the d-invariants change under the $\gamma$-action LL08, Corollary 5.2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(L(p, q), i+q)-d(L(p, q), i)=\frac{p-1-2[i]_{p}}{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spin structures are exactly the integers among the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{q-1}{2} \text { and } \frac{p+q-1}{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result may be deduced from Equation (22: The conjugation action which fixes a spin structure $s$ must identify $s+n$ and $s-n$, and $d(L(p, q), i+q)=d(L(p, q), i-q)$ implies $\frac{p-1-2 i}{p}=-\frac{p-1-2(i-q)}{p}$, or $2 i \equiv_{p} q-1$. For alternative explanations, Cf [Ue09, p. 134] or

CH15, Lemma 6.1]. Note that both numbers in (3) are axes of symmetry, and both are integers when $p$ is even, but only one is an integer (and so a spin structure) when $p$ is odd.

In the case of two homology cobordant lens spaces $L\left(p, q_{i}\right)$, Proposition 2 also implies:

$$
d\left(L\left(p, q_{1}\right), s_{1}+a\right)=d\left(L\left(p, q_{2}\right), r_{2} r_{1}^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)+\left(r_{2} r_{1}^{-1}\right)^{*}(a)\right)=d\left(s_{2}+u a\right)
$$

for any $a \in H_{1}\left(L\left(p, q_{1}\right)\right)$, where $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are chosen spin structures which are restrictions of a common spin structure on $W$. The last equality follows because $\left(r_{2} r_{1}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, which means it is multiplication by some unit $u \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.

## Relative D-INVARIANTS

Let $p$ and $q$ be coprime with $p>q>0$. Choose a spin structure $s$ as in (3) (if $p$ is odd, this choice is forced). We renormalize the d-invariants of $L(p, q)$ by defining a function $f(s, \cdot): \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ using this choice of spin structure:

$$
f(s, n):=p d(L(p, q), s+n q)-p d(L(p, q), s)
$$

Lemma 3. The function $f$ obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s, 0) & =0 \\
f(s, n+1) & =f(s, n)+p-1-2[s+n q]_{p} \\
f(s, n) & \equiv_{p}-n^{2} q
\end{aligned}
$$

If $L\left(p, q_{1}\right)$ and $L\left(p, q_{2}\right)$ are homology cobordant by $W$, and if $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ are the corresponding functions for some compatible choice of spin structure $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ which restrict the same spin structure on $W$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{2}\left(s_{2}, n\right) & =f_{1}\left(s_{1}, n u\right) \\
q_{2} & \equiv_{p} u^{2} q_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some unit $u \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.
A cobordism between two lens spaces tells us about the torsor structure defined above.
Proof. The first two equalities follow from Equation (2) and the definitions of $f$ and $s$. The third equality holds because $f(s, n+1) \equiv_{p} f(s, n)-(2 n+1) q$ and $f(s, 0) \equiv_{p} 0$. The fourth follows from Proposition 2, assuming that the spin structures were chosen so that $r_{2} r_{1}^{-1}\left(s_{1}\right)=s_{2}$, and the last equality follows from the third and fourth.

## Proof of Theorem 1

We will now prove the main theorem.
Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 3, there is a unit $u$ such that

$$
q_{1}=q \quad \text { and } \quad q_{2} \equiv_{p} u^{2} q
$$

There is also some choice of spin structures $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ which are restrictions of the same spin structure on $W$. Define $g: \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
g(m):=f_{1}\left(s_{1}, m q^{\prime}\right)=f_{2}\left(s_{2}, m u^{\prime} q^{\prime}\right)
$$

which is well-defined by the definitions of $f_{i}\left(s_{i}, m\right)$ and Lemma 3 .
Apply the recursive equations for $f_{i}\left(s_{i}, n\right)$ from Lemma 3 to $f_{1}\left(s_{1}, m q^{\prime}+1\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(s_{2}, m u^{\prime} q^{\prime}+\right.$ 1) to see that $g$ satisfies the relations:

$$
g(m+q)=g(m)+p-1-2\left[s_{1}+m\right]_{p}
$$

and

$$
g(m+u q)=g(m)+p-1-2\left[s_{2}+m u\right]_{p}
$$

Since the above relations must hold for all $m$, we can compute $g(m+u q+q)$ in two ways, as $g((m+u q)+u)$ or as $g((m+u)+u q)$. Since the results must be the same, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s_{1}+m\right]_{p}+\left[s_{2}+(m+q) u\right]_{p}=\left[s_{2}+m u\right]_{p}+\left[s_{1}+m+u q\right]_{p} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now recall that

$$
[X+Y]_{p}= \begin{cases}{[X]_{p}+[Y]_{p}} & \text { if }[X]_{p}<p-[Y]_{p}, \\ {[X]_{p}+[Y]_{p}-p} & \text { if }[X]_{p} \geq p-[Y]_{p} .\end{cases}
$$

Equation (4) is therefore equivalent to the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[s_{1}+m\right]_{p}<p-[u q]_{p} \Longleftrightarrow\left[s_{2}+m u\right]_{p}<p-[u q]_{p} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$.
By Lemma 5 below, Condition (5) can only be satisfied for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ if either $u \equiv_{p} \pm 1$ or $u q \equiv_{p} \pm 1$. That is, either $q_{2}=q_{1}$ or $q_{1} q_{2} \equiv_{p} 1$.

Note that we did not use any information in the above proof about the explicit forms the $s_{i}$ take, merely the fact that there exist $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ which are restrictions of some spin structure on $W$; in particular, the parity of $p$ is irrelevant.

We now address two technical lemmata required for the proof above.
Lemma 4. Let

$$
H:\{0,1, \cdots, p-1\} \longrightarrow\{0,1, \cdots, p-1\}
$$

be a function such that $H(i) \equiv_{p} H(0)+$ in. If

$$
H(i)<C \Longleftrightarrow i<C
$$

where $2 \leq C \leq p-2$, then

$$
H(i)=i \quad \text { or } \quad H(i)=C-1-i .
$$

A few experiments will quickly convince the reader that this lemma should be true. For the sake of completeness, we prove:

Proof. Choose $-p / 2 \leq n \leq p / 2$. Assume, for the moment, that $C \leq p / 2$.
If $n=1$, then $H(0)=0$ and $H(i)=i$.
If $n=-1$, then $H(0)=C-1$ and $H(i)=C-i-1$.
For any other $n$, there will eventually be an $i<C$ with $H(i) \geq C$. For example, for $n \geq 2$, take

$$
i_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{C-H(0)}{n}\right\rfloor+1
$$

Note that $0<i_{0}<C$ since $C \geq 2$, and

$$
0<H(0)+n i_{0} \leq H(0)+n\left(\frac{C-H(0)}{n}+1\right)=C+n \leq p
$$

so we may remove the $\equiv_{p}$ in the definition of $H\left(i_{0}\right)$ :

$$
H\left(i_{0}\right)=H(0)+n i_{0} \geq H(0)+n\left(\frac{C-H(0)}{n}\right)=C,
$$

as desired.
Similarly, for $n \leq-2$, take

$$
i_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{H(0)}{|n|}\right\rfloor+1 .
$$

Now $-p<H(0)+n i_{0}<0$, so

$$
H\left(i_{0}\right)=H(0)+n i_{0}+p \geq H(0)-|n|\left(\frac{H(0)}{|n|}+1\right)+p \geq p-|n| \geq p / 2 \geq C
$$

It is easy to adjust the above proof to accommodate $C \geq p / 2$. The key is that some (at least two and at most $p-2$ ) adjacent values of $i$ map to (the same number of) adjacent values of $H(i)$. The following are equivalent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(i)<C & \Longleftrightarrow i<C \\
0 \leq H(i) \leq C-1 & \Longleftrightarrow 0 \leq i \leq C-1 \\
p-C \leq H(i)+p-C \leq p-1 & \Longleftrightarrow p-C \leq i+p-C \leq p-1 \\
p-C \leq H(i-p+C)+p-C \leq p-1 & \Longleftrightarrow p-C \leq i \leq p-1 \\
H(i+C)-C<p-C & \Longleftrightarrow i<p-C
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\widehat{H}(i)=H(i+C)-C$ also obeys the rule $\widehat{H}(i) \equiv_{p} \widehat{H}(0)+i n$.
Lemma 5. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(m) & =[x+m y]_{p} \\
F(m) & =[X+m Y]_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $y$ and $Y$ units modulo $p$. If

$$
f(m)<C \Longleftrightarrow F(m)<C
$$

for some $2 \leq C \leq p-2$, then

$$
Y= \pm y
$$

Proof. Rescale $m$ by precomposing $f$ and $F$ with

$$
m(i)=(i-x) y^{\prime}
$$

Then

$$
h(i):=f(m(i))=[i]_{p}
$$

and

$$
H(i):=F(m(i))=\left[\left(X-x y^{\prime} Y\right)+i\left(y^{\prime} Y\right)\right]_{p}
$$

The lemma statement is equivalent to

$$
h(i)<C \Longleftrightarrow H(i)<C
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
H(i)<C \Longleftrightarrow i<C
$$

Note that $H(i) \equiv_{p} H(0)+i n$, and apply Lemma 4 .
If $H(i)=i$, then $y^{\prime} Y=1$, or $Y=y$, and $X-x y^{\prime} Y=0$, or $X=x$.
If $H(i)=C-1-i$, then $H(0)=C-1 \equiv_{p} X-x y^{\prime} Y$ and $H(C-1)=0 \equiv_{p} X-x y^{\prime} Y+$ $(C-1) y^{\prime} Y \equiv{ }_{p} C-1+(C-1) y^{\prime} Y$, so $Y \equiv_{p}-y$ and $X \equiv_{p}-x+C-1$.

## IF $p$ IS PRIME

In the special case where $p$ is a prime, we have a more precise description of the dinvariants modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Consider the reduction of $f$ modulo $p, \bar{f}(s, \cdot): \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by

$$
\bar{S}(L(p, q)) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}
$$

the image of $\bar{f}$.
Theorem 6. Let $p$ be prime number and $q$ coprime to $p$.
(a) If $q$ is a quadratic residue modulo $p$, then

$$
\bar{S}(L(p, q))=\{a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \mid-a \text { is a square in } \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\}
$$

(b) If $q$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo $p$, then

$$
\bar{S}(L(p, q))=\{a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \mid-a \text { is not a square in } \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}\} \cup\{0\} .
$$

In the residue case, a more explicit description of the d-invariants is possible:
Corollary 7. Let $p$ be an odd prime number and $q$ a residue coprime to $p$.
(a) There is only one $n$ such that $\bar{f}(s, n)=0$, namely, $n=0$.
(b) For every $a \in \bar{S}(L(p, q)) \backslash\{0\}$, there are exactly two $n$ such that $\bar{f}(s, n)=a$.
(c) $\bar{S}(L(p, q))$ contains exactly $(p+1) / 2$ elements.

Proof of Theorem 6. Since $f(s, n) \equiv_{p}-n^{2} q$,

$$
\bar{S}(L(p, q))=\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \mid a \text { satisfies } a \equiv_{p}-n^{2} q \text { for some } n\right\} .
$$

If $a=0$, then $n=0$.
Let $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right)$ denote the Legendre symbol of $m$ and $p$, defined by

$$
\left(\frac{m}{p}\right):= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } m \text { is a quadratic residue modulo } p \\ -1 & \text { if } m \text { is a quadratic non-residue modulo } p \\ 0 & \text { if } m \text { is zero modulo } p\end{cases}
$$

Assume $a \neq 0$. Then the condition $a \equiv_{p}-n^{2} q$ can be written as $-a q^{\prime} \equiv{ }_{p} n^{2}$, or

$$
\left(\frac{-a q^{\prime}}{p}\right)=1
$$

Since the Legendre symbol is multiplicative in the first argument, we can write the condition as

$$
\left(\frac{-a}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q^{\prime}}{p}\right)=1
$$

and, multiplying both sides by $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$, we get

$$
\left(\frac{-a}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)
$$

where we have used that $\left(\frac{q^{\prime}}{p}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{q q^{\prime}}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)=1$. We can thus write $\bar{S}(L(p, q))$ as

$$
\bar{S}(L(p, q))=\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z} \mid a=0 \text { or }\left(\frac{-a}{p}\right)=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof of Corollary 7 . If $p$ is prime, $(\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z})[x]$ is a unique factorization domain. If $p \neq 2$, this means every equation $n^{2} \equiv_{p}-a q^{\prime}$ with $a \neq 0$ has exactly two solutions. Part (c) follows because the total number of d-invariants, counted with multiplicities, is equal to $p$.

Note that $\bar{S}(L(2,1))=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, so (b) and (c) are false for $p=2$.
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